Duane Morley Cox 1199 Cliffside Dr. Logan, Utah 84321 Ph: 801-755-3578

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOW COMES, Duane Morley Cox, Pro Se and files this Petition For Delay Of Decision in the above action.

From what information is available, it appears that the Court may be on a course to render its decision before the Court accepts and the Parties and Court can consider my concerns in my proposed Amicus Brief.

This would in my humble opinion be an injustice to those of us who believe in President Trump. This because at oral arguments as summarized by Margaret Taylor, 15 May 2019 @ www.lawfareblog.com that:

1. There may have been no discussion of 18 USC Section 4 which seems to me a plain admission by Congress that the proper venue for consideration of Mr. Cohen's allegations would be an investigation/prosecution as appropriate by the Executive/Judicial branches, not Congress, and may therefore be dispositive in



favor of Plaintiff's arguments, and

2. There may have been no discussion at all concerning President Trump's "Absolute Immunity" to what amounts to harrassment of President Trump about personal affairs having nothing to do with his tenure in office such that it impairs or detracts, if not destroys, his ability to deal with issues which the voters elected him to dovote his full attention to - as held by the U.S. Supreme Court.

"The President's unique status under the Constitution distinguishes him from other executive officials (Citations Omitted). Because of the singular importance of the President's duties, diversion of his energies ... would raise unique risks to the effective functioning of government. As is the case with prosecutors and judges for whom absolute immunity now is established - a President must concern himself with matters likely to "arouse the most intense feelings." Pierson v. Ray, 386 U.S. at 554. Yet, as our decisions have recognized, it is in precisely such cases that there exists the greatest public interest in providing an official "the maximum ability to deal fearlessly and impartially with" the duties of his office. Ferri v. Ackerman, 444 U.S. 193, 203 (1979). This concern is compelling where the officeholder must make the most sensitive and far-reaching decisions entrusted to any official under our Constitutional system. {Citations Omitted} Nor can the sheer prominence of the President's office be ignored. In view of the visibility of his office and the effect of the actions on countless people, the President would be an easily identifiable target for suits ... {Citations Omitted} Cognizance of this personal vulnerability frequently could distract a President from his public duties, to the detriment of not only the President and his office but also the Nation that the Presidency was designed to serve." Nixon v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 731 @ 750 - 753

From which the Court must consider whether or not the circumstance before the Court is such that the distractions involved with the consequences of the subject Subpoena warrant the imposition of "Absolute Immunity" where the U.S. Supreme Court has also held that:

"The existence of alternative remedies and deterrents establish that absolute immunity will not place the President above the law [Footnote Omitted]. For

Case 1:19-cv-01136-APM Document 40 Filed 05/21/19 Page 3 of 4

the President, as for judges and prosecutors, absolute immunity merely precludes a particular private remedy for alleged misconduct in order to

advance compelling public ends." Id., @ 758

have until the 18th to provide all of their information and arguments, but the Court

Thus, where the reporting by Margaret Taylor indicated that the parties

cannot issue an order allowing me to file my brief until the 24th (14 days after being

served), it seems like my opinions will never see the light of day. So, I sincerely

appologize for perhaps "jumping the gun", but this is an issue vital to all who voted

to elect the President Trump to solve problems which have confronted our Nation,

without resolution, for far too long.

Relief Sought:

It is respectively requested that full fair consideration be given to my brief

before a decision is reached by this Court.

Sincerely:

Duane Morley Cox, Pro Se

1199 Cliffside Dr.

Logan, Utah 84321 Ph: 801-755-3578

Dated: 5/17/2019

Certificate Of Service

I, Duane Morley Cox, Pro Se, does hereby swear that on <u>17</u> May 2019, that I did serve a true and correct copy of the attached Petition For Delay Of Decision to the below listed parties by first class mail, postage pre-paid.

Clerk
U.S. District Court (Original Plus Adv. Fax)
District of Columbia
Room 1225
333 Constitution Avenue N.W.
Washington D.C. 20001
Fax: 202-354-3524

Patrick Strawbridge Consovoy McCarthy Park PLLC Ten Post Office Square 8th Floor South PMB #706 Boston, MA 02109

Legal Office Mazars USA LLP 135 West 50th Street New York, NY 10020 William S. Consovoy Cameron T. Norris Consovoy McCarthy Park PLLC 3033 Wilson Blvd., Ste 700 Arlington, VA 22201

House Committee on Oversight and Reform 2157 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Stefan C. Passantino Michael Best & Freiedrich LLP 1000 Maine Ave. SW, Ste. 400 Washington, D.C. 20024

Duane Morley Cox, Pro Se

19 May 2 # 19 Date